

Politecnico di Milano

A.A. 2015-2016

Software Engineering 2: “myTaxiService”

Test Plan Document

Luiza Bentivoglio, Michele Cantarutti

22 January 2016

Summary

**1. INTRODUCTION ............................................................................................................4**

1.1 REVISION HISTORY ......................................................................................................................4

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE ......................................................................................12

1.3 LIST OF DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS ..........................................................................4

1.4 LIST OF REFERENCE DOCUMENTS ......................................................................................12

**2. INTEGRATION STRATEGY ................................................................................4**

2.1 ENTRY CRITERIA ......................................................................................................................4

2.2 ELEMENTS TO BE INTEGRATED ......................................................................................12

2.3 INTEGRATION TESTING STRATEGY ......................................................................................12

2.4 SEQUENCE OF COMPONENT/FUNCTION INTEGRATION ........................................................12

**3. INDIVIDUAL STEPS AND TEST DESCRIPTION .............................................8**

**4. TOOLS AND TEST EQUIPMENT REQUIRED .....................................................18**

**5. PROGRAM STUBS AND TEST DATA REQUIRED ...............................................18**

**1. Introduction**

1.1 REVISION HISTORY

Ascoltare prof. – secondo me sta parte per ora non va messa, la metteremo più avanti se ci sono modifiche

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this document is to explain to the development team what to test, in which sequence, which tools are needed for testing, which stubs, drivers, oracles need to be developed and to describe the plans for testing the integration of the created components for the myTaxiService project. By integration, we mean the phase during which software modules are combined and tested as a group, and in fact, at this stage of our project we assume that all the modules of our project have been unit tested and therefore each one of them is working correctly individually. Therefore, the scope of this document is not focused on single modules but revolves around the system in the whole, considering all the modules together, and mostly testing whether all the components within assemblages interact correctly.

1.3 LIST OF DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

PASSENGER: a component on the client side, which represents a registered user, who is a customer of our service.

TAXI DRIVER: a component on the client side, which represents a taxi driver, which is a person who interacts with the system with the purpose of serving users.

CLIENT/USER: these two terms will often be used interchangeably and they’re

used to describe whoever uses the web application or the mobile application from the client’s side, thus passengers and taxi drivers.

REQUEST: a component that represents requests, which have been defined in our RASD and DD document.

RESERVATION: a component that represents reservations, which have been defined in our RASD and DD document.

1.4 LIST OF REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

As references for this document we used:

* The project description, that has been provided to us
* Our RASD document
* Our Design document


**2. Integration Strategy**

2.1 ENTRY CRITERIA

Before the integration testing can start, we must verify (or assume, in this document) that all the modules have undergone unit-tests successfully. Moreover, we will assume that each module is code-complete, which means there are no missing features. Likewise, we will assume that the developers have yielded a complete documentation for each module, so as to provide support in understanding any possible problems that might arise during the testing of the interaction between multiple components.

2.2 ELEMENTS TO BE INTEGRATED

The figure below shows the components that form the myTaxiService system. The arrows represent the order of integration. i.e. integration testing.



**Integration Tests of the dispatcher-software**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Integration Test** | **Paragraphs** |
| I1 | Passenger -> Account Manager | 2.4.1 3.1 |
| I2 | Taxi Driver -> Account Manager | 2.4.2 3.1 |
| I3 | Account Manager -> Queue Manager | 2.4.3 3.2 |
| I4 | Account Manager -> Request Manager | 2.4.4 3.2 |
| I5 | Account Manager -> Payment Manager | 2.4.5 3.2 |
| I6 | Request Manager -> Request | 2.4.6 3.2 |
| I7 | Request Manager -> Reservation | 2.4.7 3.2 |

2.3 INTEGRATION TESTING STRATEGY

We intend to test the modules that make up our system by using a bottom-up approach, which means that the integration testing will start at the bottom level and we can grant that the basic parts of our system are working from the beginning.

2.4 SEQUENCE OF COMPONENT/FUNCTION INTEGRATION

**2.4.1 Integration test case I1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I1T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Passenger -> Account manager |
| Input Specifications | Create typical Passenger input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Passenger Object |
| Environmental Needs | Passenger driver |

**2.4.2 Integration test case I2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I1T2 |
| Test Item(s) | Taxi driver -> Account manager |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Taxi driver input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Taxi driver Object |
| Environmental Needs | Taxi driver driver |

**2.4.3 Integration test case I3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I2T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Account Manager -> Queue Manager |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Account Manager input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Account Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I1-I2 succeeded |

**2.4.4 Integration test case I4**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I3T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Account Manager -> Request Manager |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Account Manager input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Account Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I1-I2 succeeded |

**2.4.5 Integration test case I5**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I4T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Account Manager -> Payment Manager |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Account Manager input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Account Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I3-I4 succeeded |

**2.4.6 Integration test case I6**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I5T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Request Manager -> Request |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Request Manager input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Request Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I4 succeeded |

**2.4.7 Integration test case I7**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Case Identifier | I6T1 |
| Test Item(s) | Request Manager -> Reservation |
| Output Specifications | Create typical Request Manager input |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct functions are called in the Request Manager |
| Environmental Needs | I4 succeeded |

**3. Individual steps and test description**

**3.1 Integration test procedure TP1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Procedure Identiﬁer** | **TP1** |
| **Purpose** | This test procedure veriﬁes wether the dispatcher software:  • can handle command-line input  • can handle account manager input  • can handle taxi driver input  • can output requested information to a passenger  • can output requested information to a taxi driver |
| **Procedure Steps** | Execute I3-I4 after I1-I2 |

**3.2 Integration test procedure TP1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Test Procedure Identiﬁer** | **TP2** |
| **Purpose** | This test procedure veriﬁes whether the dispatcher software:  • can handle account manager input  • can handle request manager input  • can output requested information to the account manager  • can output requested information to request manager |
| **Procedure Steps** | Execute I6-I7 after I4 |

**4. Tools and test equipment required**

Mockito, Arquillian, Jmeter

**5. Program stubs and test data required**

Esempio di database -> esempio di dati di un utente, del tassista, della code delle zone, (tipo, “deve esserci un utente con questi dati...deve esserci tassista con....)

Depending on the situation, different elements will be needed throughout the testing. For example, the first integration tests are carried out on the communication between the client side and the account manager on the server, and these tests will require a driver for each type of client ( passenger and taxi driver) to simulate the possible behaviors of the user. By driver we mean a main program that accepts test data and passes this test to the component to be tested and prints relevant results.

In other situations, for example those regarding the Queue Manager and the Request Manager, we will need test data to work on, thus a simulation of the database will be necessary, so that we can have a possible instance, on which we can base our tests.